IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVN.APPLN.NO.169 OF 2007

Shri.Talib Ghazali ..Applicant

Vs.

State of Maharashtra
& Anr. ..Respondents

...

Mr.Ankita Rele with Ms.Neeta V.Parikh advocate for applicant
Mr.K.V.Saste A.P.P. for the State
Mr.S.Shaikh for Respondent no.2-original complainant

...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATE : APRIL 25, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant, learned advocate for the respondent no.2-original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. Rule. By consent, rule made returnable forthwith.

2. By judgment and order dated 16.1.2006, the applicant has been convicted under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act by the Metropolitan Magistrate, 33rd Court, Ballard Pier, Mumbai in Case No. 89/S/2000. The appeal preferred by the applicant came to be dismissed by the learned Addl.

Sessions Judge, hence, this revision.

3. During the pendency of this revision, the parties have arrived at settlement and the learned advocate for the complainant states that the matter has been amicably settled and they have received all their dues and they do not wish to pursue this matter and the case may be quashed. In view of this statement, the matter is compounded. The learned advocate for the respondent no.2-original complainant states that as they have received all the dues, the amount of Rs.1.20 lakh deposited by the applicant before the trial Court, may be allowed to be withdrawn by the applicant. In view of this statement, the applicant is permitted to withdraw the amount of Rs.1.20 lakh deposited by him before the trial Court.

4. Application is disposed of.

[Smt. V.K.Tahilramani, J.]